

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/836,524 04/17/2001		Marco A. DeMello	MSFT-0260/158416.2	6581	
27372	7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ		AGWUMEZIE, CHARLES C			
	Z & NORRIS LLP STEVEN J. ROCCI, ESQ.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ONE LIBERT	Y PLACE, 46TH FLOOR		3621	_	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	e the Filing of an Appeal B	rief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/836,524	DEMELLO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Charlie C. Agwumezie	3621

	Charlie C. Agwumezie	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09/12/05</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO	VANCE.	
1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		LI INGI NEFET WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	_
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(	Primor Exam	2
	•	Primor Exor	miner
		AU 3621	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051001

## Continuation of 13. Other:

The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues such as in:

Claims 1, 7, 13 and 21generating data indicative of a retail web site, contacted from within a directory feature of an integrated shopping service of a client computer, said integrated shopping service comprising a content rendering aaplication, a retail shopping user interface and the directory feature, wherein a list of on-line retailers displayed by the directory feature enables navigation to a retailer on the list of on-line retailers directly through the list and wherein the list of on-line retailers is selected by a user of said client computer.

The scope of these claims have changed considerably and therefore raises new issues that would require further searches and/or consideration and for this reason, these claims would not be entered.